

①

P.R. No.....

6332/05

Presented by Shri.....

Vinay Pandey

dated.....

12/12/05

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench

WRIT PETITION NO. 6286 OF 2005

PETITIONER:

✓ S.N. Motwani, Aged About- 46 S/o-
Shri L.D. Motwani, Occupation-
Thesildar working at Durg, R/o-
Manjhapara, Kanker Distt.-Kanker
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
12/12/05

CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENT (S):

✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through it's Secretary
Department of Revenue
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

✓ 2. Collector Durg
Distt. Durg (C.G.)



✓
WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE
WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI ETC. OR
DIRECTION / ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE.

आदेश पत्रक
WP 6286/05
सामला क्रमांक सन् 200
..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>20-12-2005</u> Shri Vinay Pandey, Adv. for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Durg to Kanker by order dated 20-10-2005 during the ban period. Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner may be given an opportunity to file a representation before the concerned competent authorities and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of two weeks from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. Counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. It is made clear that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. M.W.P. No. 4981/2005 and I.A. No. 10403/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy to both the parties.	

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

93.12.05